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***Abstract***

*The legal profession is facing a new working environment marked by increasing globalisation, competition, technological advances and deregulation. Furthermore, the economic perspective imposed by the European Union – which leads us to consider lawyers as business as well as professionals – is having a profound impact on national regulations. Nobody would doubt that the intellectual professions have experienced a deep transformation whereas competition rules – originally addressing more traditionally commercial ventures – have begun to penetrate in this different area. In this time of changes, the ‘qualitative entry restrictions’ – taking the form of minimum periods of education (and related educational standards), post-university vocational training and professional examinations – are maintaining a key role: ensuring that only practitioners with appropriate qualifications and competence can supply their legal services in the internal market.*

*The first part of this paper is devoted to analysis of the evolution and changes involving legal education in European countries, adopting a comparative and historical perspective. Member states have the right to regulate professional services, and they have the primary responsibility of defining the framework in which professionals operate; therefore, regulation of legal education is, first and foremost, a national matter. Nevertheless, a historical overview of the different systems shows that even if the starting points of the different traditions are very distant, sometimes even opposite, there are some common trends in the evolution that are going to create a harmonization in the field of legal education. In particular, every system is going to create a pathway to enter in the legal profession that ensures both academic studies and professional training, combining the theoretical knowledge with practical aspects.*

*The second part of the paper focuses on the new role embraced by the law schools, arguing that the new mission of law schools is, at least in part, to contribute to the creation of legal practitioners. In fact, it seems that the division between exclusively academic theoretical study and post-university vocational training is today unsustainable. Considering the law schools’ new obligation to create both ‘theoretic and practical’ scholarship and the consequent shift towards more skills-based legal education, the second part of the paper will be devoted, in particular, to the analysis of the fundamental role that clinical legal education should play in this process of reform.*

***Summary:*** *1. European approach towards professionals: competition and better regulation; 2. Entry restrictions and their justification: ensuring the quality of legal services; 3. National legal educational models: a case of fragmentation?; 4. A comparative analysis: there is room for harmonization?; 5. Current criticisms and progressive trends in legal education***;** *6. The role of legal clinics in the present and future educational system*

1. **European approach towards professionals: competition and better regulation**

The legal profession is facing a new working environment marked by increasing globalisation, technological advances, competition and deregulation.[[2]](#footnote-2) Nobody would doubt that, in the last fifteen years, the European Union’s approach towards the intellectual professions has constituted a leading force in the transformation of the legal market and profession in Europe. In particular, the European Union (EU), starting with the Lisbon Strategy, recognizing the crucial role played by professionals in the internal market,[[3]](#footnote-3) has begun to advocate the application of competition law even in the professional sector.[[4]](#footnote-4) In order to justify this economic approach, the professions have been classified by European jurisprudence and by Commission decisions as undertakings. In fact, the EU has adopted a broad definition of undertaking, encompassing any entity that carries out economic activity – consisting of providing services on the market – regardless of the particular status of the entity and the way in which it is financed.[[5]](#footnote-5) As a consequence, the peculiar attributes which characterize the intellectual professions (e.g. the intellectual, technical or specialized nature and the personal and direct basis on which the services are delivered),[[6]](#footnote-6) as well as the circumstance that they are classified as regulated profession in several member states, cannot be deemed as obstacles to classifying lawyers as business. In accordance with this innovative view, the EU Commission has introduced rigorous discussions about the justifications for professional national regulation, affecting both entry requirements and the exercise of the service, and member states have been required to revisit their professional rules, according to the so-called ‘proportionality test’, in order to maintain only restrictions that are justified on public interest grounds. The European call for ‘*better regulation’* has revitalized the economic theories surrounding professional regulation, and the idea that some pro-competitive mechanisms can be implemented without detriment to the quality of professional services, even if in some cases the protection of clients and collectively as well as the good governance of the profession may impose the maintenance of some traditional restrictive rules. In fact, according to *public interest theory*, certain forms of regulation might be considered as a remedy for market failures arising from the particular features of the legal service markets. In particular, the lawyer-client relationship is often characterized by information asymmetry:[[7]](#footnote-7) the professional is always aware of the quality of the service being proposed or delivered; the client, however, has to rely on the professional’s judgement due to his/her inability to ascertain the quality of the legal service and its correspondence to his/her legal needs. In fact, most professional services are considered as ‘credence goods’: as a result, it is often not possible for the client to evaluate the quality of the service, either before or after purchasing the service itself.

As a consequence, the information asymmetry may give rise to quality deterioration resulting from *adverse selection*:[[8]](#footnote-8) if clients cannot judge the different value of professionals’ services, their willingness to pay might be hampered; conversely, if lower fees become the ‘average prices’ of legal performance, the most qualified lawyers are encouraged to leave the market, as long as their behavioural traits and their efforts are not recognized and properly remunerated. Moreover, the information gap might lead to professionals’ opportunistic behaviours, including the overvaluing of services in order to charge higher fees, the delivery of services at higher prices, as well as the provision of additional or totally unneeded services (the so-called ‘moral hazard’ problem).[[9]](#footnote-9)

In addition, legal services serve public goals such as the good administration of justice and a well-functioning judicial system; for this reason, the quality of the legal performance might have a severe impact, not only on the single client situation, but also on society as a whole: on the one hand, legal services of insufficient quality might generate negative externalities, damaging both clients and third parties involved in the justice system; on the other hand, good-quality legal services generate positive externalities, contributing to ensure the protection of the client’s rights, as well as to safeguard the correct administration of justice in the interest of the community. As a result, among the rationales of professional regulation, there is the need to avoid negative externalities while protecting the positive ones.[[10]](#footnote-10)

The public interest explanations may constitute, therefore, the premise and the justification for the maintenance of a number of professional regulations at the national level. Nevertheless, not all the restrictive rules may be justified on the basis of the public interest arguments.[[11]](#footnote-11) The *equilibrium* between the preservation of traditional restrictive rules and the introduction of pro-competition mechanisms is not always easy to find.

1. **Entry restrictions and their justification: ensuring the quality of legal services**

Qualification and entry requirements (encompassing academic education and training as well as professional examinations) are a basic component of the regulation of lawyers. This is not surprising, considering the fact that the legal profession is classified as a regulated profession in almost all member states.[[12]](#footnote-12) Nevertheless, according to the Commission, with the recent initiatives aiming at giving an economic perspective to the regulation of the liberal professions, the justification of this kind of restriction has been questioned, in order to determine whether the qualitative entry restrictions are effectively justified in the name of public interest, consumer protection and quality of service or, contrariwise, may excessively restrict competition, promoting only lawyers’ interests without yielding corresponding benefits to the society.

Even if empirical evidence on this aspect is limited and fragmentary,[[13]](#footnote-13) it has been argued that *ex ante* or input regulation could be a valuable tool to guarantee a high level of quality of legal services, in the clients’ and the public’s interest. When a profession is regulated, only professionals who meet certain requirements and possess the appropriate qualifications are allowed to offer their services in the market.

As has been noted elsewhere, ‘Licensing thus attempts to influence the quality of the service before its provision (*ex ante)* and controls the input (mainly education and/or training) rather than the output. The underlying assumption is that there is a strong complementary relationship between investments in human capital (input) and the quality of the service provided.’[[14]](#footnote-14) As a consequence, when professionals who do not have the required qualifications and competence are excluded from the market, the overall quality of the legal services performed will of necessity be higher. For this reason, the input or *ex ante* regulation might be considered proportionate to the goal of ensuring professional quality: it is justified by public interest arguments, working both as a remedy for market failures[[15]](#footnote-15) and as a deterrence to moral hazard.[[16]](#footnote-16)

1. **National legal educational models: a case of fragmentation?**

Member states have the right to regulate professional services and the primary responsibility of defining the framework in which professionals operate; therefore, the regulation of legal education is also, first and foremost, a national matter. For that reason, it is not surprising to find many differences among the national legal education models existing in Europe: each member state has its own education and training pathway to be followed in order to become a lawyer, and this pathway varies from one country to another. This heterogeneous situation can turn into a potential obstacle to having a common level of the quality of services offered in the internal market.

Among the main criteria differing from member state to member state, there are: a) the duration of the licensing procedure, with a range from around six to almost nine years (like in Slovenia);[[17]](#footnote-17) b) the intensity of the entry controls: some member states impose an ‘incoming and outgoing’ selection in order to become a lawyer. In particular, in order to start vocational training in law, graduates may be required to pass an exam at the end of their university studies, and a second exam has to be taken at the end of the traineeship for becoming a lawyer. For example, a dual bar exam is required by the German regulation,[[18]](#footnote-18) as well as by the Polish one.[[19]](#footnote-19) On the other side, some other member states, like Italy, impose one single entry selection, i.e. an exam at the end of the traineeship. In some cases the examination is taken before the end of the training (this is, for example, the case of Belgian regulation). In some jurisdictions, periodic exams during the training are compulsory (like in Poland). In some other member states, before or during the professional traineeship, additional training, vocational courses, notably on matters not covered at all in the university curriculum, such as professional ethics, are required; c) the connection with the educational system imposed for other legal professions: the English and the German systems might be seen as the two extremes – on one side, the UK imposes separate educational and professional paths to the two branches of the legal profession, i.e. barrister and solicitors; on the other side, the German model requires a common education for all the traditional juridical professions in order to create the so-called *Einheitsjurist*,a jurist who is able to work as a judge as well as a lawyer; d) the importance reserved to the continuous professional development: although it is mandatory in the majority of member states, in some of them it remains on voluntary basis.[[20]](#footnote-20)

This brief *excursus* on the main traits of the actual national educational models leads us to the conclusion that it is not possible to infer the existence of a common European model of delivering legal education and training. However, a historical comparative analysis can offer a different point of view on this topic that allows us to see an increasing convergence in legal education in Europe, especially as regards the continental civil law tradition.

1. **A comparative analysis: is there room for harmonization?**

Legal education in continental Europe has long since been associated with university education.[[21]](#footnote-21) The Italian tradition might be taken as a paradigm. Legal education has its origin in the Middle Ages, when the first university was founded in Bologna. The didactic method forged by the School of Bologna in 1088 was designed for legal scholars who needed to find and teach the ‘right’ solutions.[[22]](#footnote-22) Law was taught as a model or structure, completely cut off from any practical consideration – such as the needs of the client or the means of resolving disputes. Such a conception has influenced both the nature of the teaching of law, giving it a theory-based and conceptual character, and the essence of European continental law itself.

During the Napoleonic period this framework shifted a little in favour of the professionalization of a ‘legal class’ and ‘a new socialization based upon competency’.[[23]](#footnote-23) During the eighteenth century, training courses and examinations were gradually established. Furthermore, successive law reforms introduced post-university traineeships and exams as requirements for entry to the legal professions. At the end of the nineteenth century, the legal education system started to be articulated in the law degree, a period of training followed by a final test. It has been said that ‘the application of the law to practical cases requires a very particular ability that can only be gained through practice’.[[24]](#footnote-24)

As a consequence, in continental Europe, and in the civil law tradition, despite the specifically academic roots, we have seen over the centuries the emergence and consolidation of a process of professionalization that has ensured that professional bodies retain ultimate regulatory control over access to professional titles.

Contrary to the continental tradition, English legal education and training was born as a product of the legal profession and the Inns of Court.[[25]](#footnote-25) In the beginning, university legal education in England was almost inexistent. As a matter of fact, the modern legal education system in England and Wales has been shaped in part by a series of reforms that began only by the mid-nineteenth century. From the mid-twentieth century, some government reports[[26]](#footnote-26) started to criticize the state of legal education, warning of the poor standards in legal education, recognizing the inefficiency of the system, the need for reform of legal education and the possibility of introducing an entry method that would evaluate not only the practical training but also the university studies. In 1971, the Ormrod report[[27]](#footnote-27) advocated the introduction of a three-stage model of legal education: an academic stage, a professional stage and a continuing stage. The normal academic stage has become the law degree, or its equivalent. In the wake of the Ormrod report, the law degree was confirmed as the standard mode of entry into the profession also by the reports that followed[[28]](#footnote-28) (almost until the solicitors qualifying exam (SQE) announced reform – see *infra*).

As a consequence, in the common law tradition, we have witnessed an opposite evolution compared to the civil law tradition, with the emergence and consolidation of law as an academic discipline, despite its origins being essentially anchored to the professional world.

The historical evolution of the different macro-traditions of legal education gives evidence that even if the starting points are very distant, even opposite, there are some common trends in the evolution that harmonize European legal education. In particular, every system has created over the centuries a pathway to enter the legal profession that ensures both the academic education and the professional training/practical experience, combining the theoretical knowledge with practical aspects. Moreover, these profound changes of the educational systems have led, in civil law as well as in the common law tradition, to the construction of different stages of education and training which have created distinct spheres of influence for the different stakeholders. Usually law schools, as liberal institutions, retain as primary goals the promotion and production of legal culture, the transmission of legal knowledge and the development of students’ analytical and critical reasoning skills.[[29]](#footnote-29) On the other hand, bar associations have the mission to equip graduates with the understanding and acquisition of practical legal skills and competence, and potentially legal ethics, throughout vocational stages, traineeship and/or exam(s).

1. **Current criticisms and progressive trends in legal education**

Nevertheless, as history explains, the relationship among different stakeholders is not a stable one, and also, the three-stage model – university degree / vocational stage and training/exam(s) – is just an artificial division. What is more constant are the critics of the legal educational system, the rethinking of approaches to teaching and learning law, in theory and in practice, and ways to test knowledge, skills and competence, also taking into consideration the ongoing changes involving the legal profession.[[30]](#footnote-30) As recently noted, ‘Legal education has come under fire from all quarters, almost everywhere in the world. Legal education is criticized in many different contexts, by a variety of actors, and for a great number of reasons.’[[31]](#footnote-31)

This sense of dissatisfaction with the way in which legal education is structured and delivered has led in England and Wales to the shocking announcement by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (hereafter SRA) that it is going to revise the pathway to qualify as a solicitor. Currently, would-be solicitors are required to complete a qualifying law degree (QLD) or law conversion course at university (GDL), a one-year vocational course, the Legal Practice Course (LPC) and, finally, two years of supervised training (*training contract*) in order to qualify as a solicitor. The three-stage structure is similar to the educational model designed for the would-be barrister: graduates (with a QLD or a GDL) are required to join one of the Inns of Court, undertake one-year full-time course, the Bar Professional Training Course, be called to the Bar and complete a recognized period of training under the supervision of an experienced barrister, the Pupillage.[[32]](#footnote-32)

Nevertheless, the SRA has announced that from 2021, as part of a new approach to qualifying as a solicitor in England and Wales, it will abandon the qualifying law degree (QLD) and the Legal Practice Course (LPC), in favour of a centralized examinations system, the Solicitors Qualifying Exam (SQE).[[33]](#footnote-33) In particular, the announced SQE reform will require would-be solicitors to undergo a centralized exam regulated by the SRA, consisting of two parts: the first one, testing legal knowledge through multiple-choice questions; the second one, consisting of skills assessments. The candidates will also have to undertake 24 months of practical training (qualifying work experience – QWE).

The radical changes announced by the SRA, which undermined the validity of the traditional three-stage model, are expected to have a profound effect on the entire English model of legal education. Because a law degree will not be mandatory in order to sit the SQE, the only choice for the law schools will be between opting in or opting out of SQE preparation. They could, in other words, keep a distance from the interest of the legal profession and provide a more liberal and theoretical education; or – on the contrary – they might move further on, in the direction of professional and vocational courses, in order to reach the standards required to embrace the SQE.[[34]](#footnote-34) Both choices have potentially significant and obvious consequences. The replacement pathway to qualify as a solicitor in England and Wales offered by the SRA, which totally underestimates the role of university and pushes towards a pure apprenticeship model, seems to remove the English system from the harmonized model of legal education described before.[[35]](#footnote-35)

In continental Europe, the criticisms concerning the current educational system, as well as the innovations involving the professions in the recent years, are still generating some significant changes (even if the impact is not comparable with the English one).

Over the past century, despite the growing professionalization of the legal class and the increased importance of the bar association in the legal educational process, continental law schools, reaffirming their own role, have continued to uphold as their exclusive aims the promotion and production of legal culture, maintaining in conformity with such objectives an essentially theoretic teaching methodology.[[36]](#footnote-36) Nevertheless, a number of harsh criticisms levelled against academia consistently over the past 100 years are signs of a disconnect between the law school and the wider society. Is there a need for an education that insists on keeping theory and practice apart?

Such criticism is still echoed today in university classrooms and, although it does not appear sufficiently supported, in an ‘atmosphere of dated paralysis’[[37]](#footnote-37) that has perhaps always characterized academia and other institutions under pressure to change, it seems to dictate current (and increasingly noticeable) progressive trends. Recently, in fact there have been attempts directed at integrating practical components into the curricula, such as some law school initiatives targeted at introducing legal skills courses and legal clinics.[[38]](#footnote-38) Whilst far from the norm, such developments are on the increase across continental Europe. The creation of the clinic network ENCLE (European Network for Clinical Legal Education) evidences that.[[39]](#footnote-39) One may speculate as to the driving forces, but almost certainly this includes the aim of developing a student’s knowledge and practical skills, both of which are required of a professional.[[40]](#footnote-40)

1. **The role of clinical legal education in the present and future educational system**

In spite of cultural differences among different national models of legal education on the continent, the specific requirements imposed by the national law curricula and the different relationship between universities and the relevant professional bodies, a new harmonized trend is emerging in continental Europe: a common and renewed approach to legal education begs a rethink of the curriculum content, embracing knowledge, skills and values.[[41]](#footnote-41)

In this latest process of reform, the mission of law schools and the mission of post-university training associations (bar associations, professional schools and in some instances universities), while maintaining their own specificities, tend to converge: they each provide knowledge, skills, competences, ability for a defined market; students are required to master theoretical legal concepts as well as to meet standards of technical competency, in order to understand law in its operational context and aspire to be future ethical and competent professionals.

Experiential learning, in general, and clinical legal education, in particular, may constitute valuable tools for tackling the challenges and meet the needs of the current and renewed educational context.

Firstly, clinical legal education is a pedagogical means that permits the application of theory to real or realistic (in case of simulation) cases in order to understand the law in practice: students gain the opportunity to discover the law in its operational context, to discuss the meaning of the rules, to question the needs of reform as well as to appreciate the role of the law in society.[[42]](#footnote-42)

Moreover, the clinical method provides the understanding and acquisition of lawyering skills (such as fact-finding, clients interviewing, legal drafting, ADR methods and so on) as well as of the development of professional responsibility and wider ethical values. Students are required to work as legal professionals; in other words, they have to act with competence and ability in the interest of the client and become aware of the social relevance of their role, acting accordingly, assuming responsibility for their behaviour and facing the consequences of their actions.

Furthermore, unlike what happens in real life, universities are a ‘place where the learning can be guided, can be structured, can be taught rather than merely learned’.[[43]](#footnote-43) Law schools represent a privileged context in which, under the competent supervision of academics and professionals, students can experience the power of reflective learning. Students are required to move between action and reflection, visit and revisit the experience, thinking about what has been done, deepening the reasons underpinning actions, wondering how else they could have acted, trying to act differently if needed and appreciate the new consequences. In this way, the reflection also serves as a serious practice of learning.

Secondly, clinical legal education constitutes a unique opportunity to build links with the practicing profession and empower the dialogue between law schools and professional bar associations. For instance, the University of Brescia’s Law Clinic[[44]](#footnote-44) (the clinic in which I have the privilege of working) has created over the years a strong collaboration with the local bar association and lawyers: the local bar association is permanently involved in the organization of the course and present in the academic committee; moreover, approximately ten local lawyers with significant professional experience are regularly involved in the program as students’ supervisors as well as experts in specific fields (such as legal ethics and ADR).

Finally, through clinical legal education, academia draws closer to society: law schools, through a creative reinterpretation of their role, open their doors to vulnerable individuals and excluded communities by providing legal services. Universities, through legal clinics, have the possibility to tackle unmet legal needs, helping the most vulnerable, who often have very limited access to legal services for financial, logistical or cultural reasons and who have more limited possibilities for enforcing or defending their rights. Therefore, clinical legal education represents a valuable tool to enhance access to justice and to foster social change. The law schools, taking advantage of the clinical model of education, gain the chance, through the pursuit of their primary educational mission, to advance social justice and, ultimately, to give the public more confidence in the solicitors’ profession.
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